Cas	e 8:12-cv-01530-JGB-RNB Document	1 Filed 09/13/12 Page 1 of 5 Page ID #:1 FILED					
1 2 3 4	Stephanie R. Tatar – State Bar No. 2 TATAR LAW FIRM, APC 3500 West Olive Avenue Suite 300 Burbank, California 91505 Telephone: (323) 744-1146	2012 SEP 13 PM 12: 49 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST, OF CALIF LOS ANGELES BY					
5 6 7	Facsimile: (888) 778-5695 Stephanie@thetatarlawfirm.com						
8	Attorney for Plaintiff Charles Sanders UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10 11 12	CHARLES SANDERS Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. SACV12-1530 -CSC					
13 14 15	v. EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
16 17	Defendant.						
18	Prelim	INARY STATEMENT					
19	This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer						
20 21	against the Defendant for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15						
22	U.S.C. §§ 1681, et seq., as amended.						
23	JURISDI	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
24	2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and 28						
25	U.S.C. § 1331.						
26	3. Venue lies properly in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).						
27							

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Charles Sanders is an adult individual who resides in Lansing, MI.
- 5. Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. is a business entity and consumer reporting agency that regularly conducts business in the Central District of California, and which has its headquarters and a principal place of business located at 475 Anton Boulevard, Costa Mesa, CA.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Defendant has been reporting derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's credit history to third parties ("inaccurate information") from at least June 2012 through present. The inaccurate information includes numerous tradelines, including, but not limited to, accounts with Ally Financial, AMEX, Bank of America, Capital One, Capital Bank, Chase, Credit Protection Association, Fair Collections & Out, First Premier Bank, HSBC, IC Systems, Midland, Nuvell, Primeway Federal Credit Union and Wells Fargo, as well as identifying personal information.
- 7. The inaccurate information negatively reflects upon the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's credit repayment history, Plaintiff's financial responsibility as a debtor and Plaintiff's creditworthiness. The inaccurate information consists of accounts and/or tradelines that do not belong to the Plaintiff, and that actually belong to at least one other consumer. Due to Defendant's faulty procedures, Defendant mixed the credit file of Plaintiff and that of at least another consumer with respect to the inaccurate information and other personal identifying information.
- 8. Defendant has been reporting the inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer credit reports that it has disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and

3 4

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

unknown. Defendant has repeatedly published and disseminated consumer reports to such third parties from at least June 2012 through the present.

- 9. Plaintiff's credit report and file has been obtained from Defendant and has been reviewed by prospective and existing credit grantors and extenders of credit, and the inaccurate information has been a substantial factor in precluding Plaintiff from receiving different credit offers and opportunities, known and unknown. Plaintiff's credit reports have been obtained from Defendant by such third parties from at least June 2012 through the present.
- 10. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in the form of credit denial or loss of credit opportunity, credit defamation and emotional distress, including anxiety, frustration, embarrassment and, humiliation.
- 11. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant herein.
- At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of the Defendant, as well as 12. that of its agents, servants and/or employees, was intentional, willful, reckless, and in grossly negligent disregard for federal law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.

Count One- Violations of the FCRA

(Plaintiff v. Defendant)

- Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same 13. were set forth at length herein.
- 14. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was a "person" and "consumer reporting agency" as those terms are defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and (f).

1	15. At all times pertinent hereto, the Plaintiff was a "consumer" as that				
2	term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).				
3	16. At all times pertinent hereto, the above-mentioned credit reports wer				
4	"consumer reports" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).				
5	17. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and 15 U.S.C. § 1681o, Defendant i				
6	liable to the Plaintiff for willfully and negligently failing to comply with the				
7	requirements imposed on a consumer reporting agency of information pursuant to				
8	15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).				
9	Jury Trial Demanded				
10	18. Plaintiff demands trial by jury.				
11	Prayer for Relief				
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment in Plaintiff's favor and damage				
13	against the Defendant, based on the following requested relief:				
14	(a) Statutory damages;				
15	(b) Actual damages;				
16	(c) Punitive damages;				
17	(d) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and				
18	(e) Other and further relief as may be just and proper.				
19					
20	Respectfully Submitted by:				
21	TATAR LAW FIRM, APC				
22					
23	Dated: September 13, 2012				
24	Stephanie R. Tatar				
25	Attorney for Plaintiff				
26					
27					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Cormac J. Carney and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV12- 1530 CJC (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar	of the	e Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL		=
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all def filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).	endar	nts (if a removal action is
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:		
Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.